# AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

# Fenton's Oxidation of Food Processing Wastewater Components. Kinetic Modeling of Protocatechuic Acid Degradation

Francisco J. Rivas,<sup>\*,†</sup> Jesús Frades,<sup>‡</sup> Miguel A. Alonso,<sup>‡</sup> C. Montoya,<sup>‡</sup> and J. M. Monteagudo<sup>‡</sup>

Departamento de Ingeniería Química y Energética, Universidad de Extremadura, Avenida de Elvas s/n, 06071 Badajoz. Spain, and Departamento de Ingeniería Química, Universidad de Castilla la Mancha, Plaza de Manuel Meca s/n, 13400 Almadén, Ciudad Real, Spain

The oxidation of protocatechuic acid (PA), a typical phenol-type compound present in food processing wastewater, has been carried out by means of Fenton's reagent. Both the  $H_2O_2$  and Fe(II) initial concentrations increase the PA degradation rate. Temperature also enhances the PA conversion when raised from 283 to 313 K, a further increase to 323 K results in a lower PA removal. Increasing the PA initial concentration leads to a decrease of conversion values but an opposite effect in terms of removal rate. pH values in the range 3–4 resulted in the total inhibition of the oxidation process. Similar PA depletion rates were experienced regardless of the oxidation state of the catalyst (ferrous or ferric iron). Additionally, an attempt based on the classic Fenton's chemistry plus some other stages accounting for the Fe(II) regeneration from Fe(III) and the inefficient  $H_2O_2$  decomposition was conducted to model the process.

KEYWORDS: Fenton's reagent; protocatechuic acid; kinetics; phenolic compounds; water treatment; hydrogen peroxide.

### INTRODUCTION

The increasing restrictions relating to the quality and quantity of aqueous discharges into natural aquatic systems or into the public sewage system are triggering the need for efficient and inexpensive wastewater treatment technologies. To the present, biological processes have traditionally been used to deal with moderately contaminated effluents showing acceptable levels of biodegradability. In contrast, the generation of highly polluted and/or biotoxic liquid wastes involves, in most of cases, the accumulation of these effluents in artificial ponds to reduce/ minimize the problem by natural routes (evaporation, anaerobic activity, etc.). Typical examples of the latter situation are the accumulation of leachates from municipal landfill sites or the generation of extremely contaminated wastes from the farming and agricultural industries. Thus, in the latter case, the problem is sometimes aggravated by the seasonal character of the activities. Manufacturing processes such as those related to tomato byproducts, olive oil extraction, table olive production, distilleries, etc., are characterized by the generation of aqueous streams with a considerable COD (chemical oxygen demand) level and the presence of bactericide compounds of the phenol and polyphenol groups (1). Implementation of biological treatments in these situations is unthinkable.

<sup>†</sup> Universidad de Extremadura.

The aforementioned phenol-type substances range from simple molecules such as phenolic acids to large, highly polymerized compounds such as tannins. Species such as gallic, protocatechuic, and hydroxybenzoic acids or tyrosol can be listed as some of the simplest molecules.

Because phenols show bactericidal properties when found in relatively high concentrations (2), alternative prebiological purification technologies are required. Thus, Fenton's reagent has been shown to efficiently degrade a wide number of contaminants in a nonspecific way. The success of this technology relies on its implementation simplicity and economy and the acceptable insensitivity to external disturbances (i.e., changes in influent composition, temperature, flow rate, etc.). However, the behavior of this system varies from case to case, i.e., the efficiency depends not only on the reactivity of the target compounds toward the oxidizing species generated but also on the capability of Fe(II) regeneration, complexation of iron forms, inefficient decomposition of hydrogen peroxide in parallel routes, etc.

In this work, protocatechuic acid (PA) has been used as a model substance typically found in food processing wastewater matrixes. The biological effect of this compound is a matter of controversy. Thus, some authors have claimed that it actuates as an antioxidant preventing chemical-induced carcinogenesis, whereas others assert that it induces oxidative stress and promotes tumor formation. In the latter case, the mechanism is

10.1021/jf0512712 CCC: \$30.25 © 2005 American Chemical Society Published on Web 11/24/2005

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: +0034924289385. E-mail: fjrivas@unex.es. Fax: +0034924289385.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Universidad de Castilla la Mancha.

similar to Fenton's reagent, protocatechnic acid potentiating Fe(II)-induced lipid peroxidation of the cells (3).

Oxidation of PA has been previously studied by using other advanced oxidation processes such as photo-Fenton (4),  $O_3/$ UV or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/UV methods (5), etc. However, a thorough analysis of Fenton's reagent features has not been completed until now. In this work, the main operating variables affecting Fenton's reagent performance have been studied. Additionally, an attempt has been conducted to model the process by means of a pseudoempirical model.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted under typical laboratory light in a jacketed cylindrical 2-L (reaction volume) glass vessel. The procedure was executed in discontinuous mode by initially adding an aliquot of concentrated hydrogen peroxide to a solution already containing the target compound and the required amount of Fe(II) (FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, Sigma-Aldrich, 99.995%) or Fe(III) (FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, Panreac, >97%). pH was adjusted by a buffer solution made of H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/NaOH (ionic strength 0.1 M). The variation of this parameter was negligible at the end of the reaction. Temperature was kept constant by using a thermostatic bath that continuously recirculated water through the reactor jacket.

Analysis of the parent compound (Sigma-Aldrich, 97%) was carried out by HPLC (HP 1100) in isocratic mode immediately after sampling. A Waters Nova-Pack C18 column was used with a 35:65 (v/v) methanol/water mixture (pH 2.5 with acetic acid) as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Ferrous ion in the solution was analyzed by the 2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine (TPTZ) method. The pH of the reaction medium was followed by means of a Crison pH meter (GLP 21). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was monitored either iodometrically (high concentrations) or by the *N*,*N*-diethyl-1,4-phenylenediamine sulfate (DPD) method (low concentrations).

Details of the analytical procedure can be found elsewhere (6).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Influence of Operating Variables. Influence of Initially Added Reagents' Concentrations. Hydrogen Peroxide. After some preliminary experiments confirming the negligible effect of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as a single oxidant at room temperature, a series of experiments was carried out by varying the concentration of hydrogen peroxide in the presence of Fe(II) while keeping the rest of operating parameters constant. Thus, the influence of this variable was investigated in the range from  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  to 5  $\times 10^{-3}$  M with an initial Fe(II) concentration of  $10^{-4}$  M (ratio,  $R = H_2O_2/Fe(II)$ , ranging from 10 to 50). Figure 1 illustrates the results obtained. From this figure is observed a positive influence of this parameter in terms of the PA elimination rate when the concentration of  $H_2O_2$  is raised from  $10^{-3}$  (R = 10, 60% conversion after 30 min) to  $4 \times 10^{-3}$  M (R = 40, >95%conversion after 30 min). However, a further increase to 5  $\times$  $10^{-3}$  M (R = 50) did not lead to a significant improvement of the process. The hydrogen peroxide conversion profiles strongly depended on the initial *R* value. As observed from **Figure 1**,  $H_2O_2$  conversion increased as the value of R was decreased. The direct relationship between PA and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> conversions should also be noted. Thus, the complete disappearance of  $H_2O_2$ in the bulk water prevented the further oxidation of the target compound.

Because one of the key stages in Fenton's processes is the initiating reaction of  $H_2O_2$  decomposition to generate the oxidizing species in the reaction medium, the results described above were expected. The role played by hydrogen peroxide in the advance of the mechanism seems to be crucial in this system; however, this is not always the case. In other systems, the



**Figure 1.** Degradation of protocatechuic acid in aqueous solution by Fenton's reagent. Influence of hydrogen peroxide initial concentration. Conditions: *T* = 303 K, pH = 3.7, *C*<sub>PA,0</sub> = 6.5 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M, *C*<sub>Fe(II),0</sub> = 1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M. *C*<sub>H202,0</sub> = (M × 10<sup>3</sup>): ■, 1.0; ▲, 2.0; ▼, 2.5; ●, 3.0; △, 4.0; □, 5.0.



**Figure 2.** Degradation of protocatechuic acid in aqueous solution by Fenton's reagent. Influence of ferrous iron initial concentration. Conditions: *T* = 303 K, pH = 3.7, *C*<sub>PA,0</sub> =  $6.5 \times 10^{-4}$  M, *C*<sub>H2O2,0</sub> =  $3 \times 10^{-3}$ M. *C*<sub>Fe(II),0</sub> = (M × 10<sup>5</sup>): ■, 1.0; ▲, 3.0; ▼, 5.0; ●, 6.5; △, 8.0; □, 10;  $\nabla$ , 50.

propagation of the oxidative process proceeds through the formation of organic peroxides even in the absence of  $H_2O_2$  (7).

Additionally, from **Figure 1**, it is inferred that the system is capable of continuously reducing Fe(III) to Fe(II) (provided that the PA conversion is not too high), i.e., it is hypothesized that some of the intermediates formed play an essential role in the reduction stage. When these intermediates are further oxidized, Fe(III) starts to accumulate because of the reaction of Fe(II) and the remaining  $H_2O_2$ .

Fe(II). The influence of the initial ferrous iron concentration was investigated in the interval from  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  M to  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  M (R = 300-6). Figure 2 depicts the evolution profiles of the normalized PA concentration and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> conversion for the aforementioned series. As observed, this parameter exerted a significant influence in the range of values investigated, the process becoming extremely fast when the amount of Fe(II)



**Figure 3.** Degradation of protocatechuic acid in aqueous solution by Fenton's reagent. Influence of temperature. Conditions:, pH = 3.7,  $C_{PA,0}$ =  $6.5 \times 10^{-4}$  M,  $C_{H2O2,0} = 3 \times 10^{-3}$  M,  $C_{Fe(II),0} = 6.5 \times 10^{-5}$ , T = (K): ■, 383; ▲, 393; ▼, 303; ●, 313; △, 323.

was raised to  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  M (R = 6). Similarly to the previous experimental series, Fe(II) did not significantly change its concentration unless the protocatechuic acid disappeared (provided that some H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> remained in solution).

Influence of Temperature. The effect of temperature was studied from 283 to 323 K. Raising this parameter always led to an increasing hydrogen peroxide removal rate (see Figure **3**). However,  $H_2O_2$  decomposition is not directly related to PA oxidation. Thus, on one hand, temperature likely increased the reaction rate between hydrogen peroxide and any form of ferrous/ferric iron (chelated or not), thus increasing the rate of generation of oxidizing species (hydroxyl radicals or highvalence iron species). Simultaneously, on the other hand, temperature also influences the inefficient decomposition of hydrogen peroxide into inactive species (water and oxygen). These opposite effects trigger the profiles depicted in Figure 3. Hence, from 283 to 313 K, the enhancement of oxidizing species formation prevails over the inefficient H2O2 decomposition, but a further temperature increase, to 323 K, because of the wasting of the available  $H_2O_2$ , does not result in the expected improvement in PA degradation.

Influence of Catalyst Oxidation State. Traditionally, the efficacy of Fenton's reagent has been related to the presence of Fe(II) and the ability of the system to regenerate it. For instance, **Figure 1** shows how Fe(II) is continuously regenerated from its oxidized form when the PA conversion is below ~90%. Consequently, a priori, the Fe(III)/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system should be as effective as the Fe(II)/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system once a minimum amount of Fe(II) is formed to initiate the redox cycle.

Figure 4 illustrates the oxidation results obtained with the  $Fe(III)/H_2O_2$  system. A direct comparison to the results achieved

with the  $Fe(II)/H_2O_2$  system is shown in the same figure (open symbols in left figure). From **Figure 4**, it is observed that the Fenton-like system  $[Fe(III)/H_2O_2]$  is almost analogous to the classic Fenton's reagent in terms of PA depletion profiles (R =30-100). Also, from **Figure 4**, it is seen that Fe(II) is formed in stoichiometric amounts immediately after the reaction begins. This latter fact indicates a reactivity comparable to that of the Fe(II)/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system. Likely, the complex formed between Fe-(III) and PA (8) presents a higher reactivity toward  $H_2O_2$  than the Fe(III) aquocomplexes, immediately starting the reduction to Fe(II) and the classic Fenton's mechanism. Additionally, Fe-(III) might be directly reduced by PA, thereby initiating the Fe(II)/H2O2 system. Both routes would eventually lead to similar results; however, reduction of Fe(III) by PA must be a slow reaction compared to the dynamics of the Fenton-like system. Thus, no traces of Fe(II) were detected after Fe(III) and PA were mixed before H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> addition (time zero), whereas stoichiometric amounts of Fe(II) were measured in less than 10 min after H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> addition (see Figure 4).

Perhaps, a slightly lower activity can be envisaged for the  $Fe(III)/H_2O_2$  reagent, likely because of the time taken to establish the aforementioned complex equilibrium and the redox cycle. Hydrogen peroxide profiles indicate a slightly higher consumption of this reagent when Fe(III) is used; the differences might be attributable to the initial  $H_2O_2$  uptake to reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II) to set off the redox cycle. Because the  $H_2O_2$  conversion profiles in the two sets of experiments [with Fe(II) and with Fe(III)] are quite similar, it can be suggested that, after the initial period of the reaction, reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) must be carried out by species other than  $H_2O_2$ .

Influence of Protocatechuic Acid Initial Concentration. Three values of PA initial concentration (3.25, 6.50, and  $13.0 \times 10^{-4}$  M) were tested at constant initial concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (3 ×  $10^{-3}$  M) and Fe(II) (1 ×  $10^{-4}$  M). Figure 5 shows the experimental results obtained. From this figure, it is observed that , at a given time, a higher PA initial concentration results in a lower conversion. However, in terms of absolute values of the reaction rate, it is inferred that the higher the initial PA concentration, the higher the degradation rate of the parent compound. Increasing the PA concentration also involves a higher H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> uptake. As in the previous experimental series, once the PA is completely degraded, Fe(II) begins to decrease in concentration.

Influence of pH. Two experiments completed at initial pH's of 2 and 5 led to the almost total inhibition of PA degradation (results not shown). In both experiments, the  $H_2O_2$  concentration remained practically unchanged, while Fe(II) concentration underwent a fast reduction in the initial stages of the reaction



Figure 4. Degradation of protocatechuic acid in aqueous solution by Fenton-like reagent. Influence of Fe(III) initial concentration. Conditions: T = 303 K, pH = 3.7,  $C_{PA,0} = 6.5 \times 10^{-4}$  M,  $C_{H2O2,0} = 3 \times 10^{-3}$  M,  $C_{Fe(III),0} = (M \times 10^{-5})$ :  $\blacksquare$ , 3.0;  $\blacktriangle$ , 6.5;  $\checkmark$ , 10. [Open symbols in A correspond to experiments carried out with the Fe(II)/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system.]



**Figure 5.** Degradation of protocatechuic acid in aqueous solution by Fenton's reagent. Influence of protocatechuic acid initial concentration. Conditions: T = 303 K, pH = 3.7,  $C_{H2O2,0} = 3 \times 10^{-3}$  M,  $C_{Fe(II),0} = 1 \times 10^{-4}$  M,  $C_{PA,0} = (M \times 10^{4})$ :  $\blacksquare$ , 13.0;  $\blacktriangle$ , 6.5;  $\checkmark$ , 3.25. [Open symbols: Fe(II) conversion.]

(i.e., roughly 80% conversion in less than 5 min). The decrease of Fe(II) at pH 5 can be attributed to autoxidation with dissolved oxygen (and/or  $H_2O_2$ ) and further precipitation of Fe(III) oxohydroxides, which would eventually stop the oxidation. The inactivation of the system at pH 2 seems to be the consequence of the inhibition of Fe(III) reduction reactions. Thus, Fe(II) is immediately oxidized by hydrogen peroxide, but the further regeneration of the catalyst does not proceed at acceptable rates (see reaction 2 in the mechanism), and the process comes to a halt.

**Kinetic Analysis.** Fenton's chemistry is characterized by the complexity of the mechanism involved. In addition to the redox reactions implicated in the process, factors such as the presence

of chelating agents, formation of active organic peroxides, presence of Fe(III) reducing agents, etc., strongly influence the performance of this system. Even the nature of the oxidizing species is a matter of controversy (9).

The following features have been contemplated in the establishment of the mechanism:

(i) The initiating reaction of  $H_2O_2$  decomposition depends on the species involved (see reactions 1a-1d). In this sense, several equilibrium reactions might take place, leading to different  $H_2O_2$  decomposition rates depending on the operating conditions used.

$$\operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + a\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O} + b\operatorname{L} \rightleftharpoons \left[\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{L})_b(\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O})_a\right]^{2+}$$
 (1a)

$$[Fe(L)_{b}(H_{2}O)_{a}]^{2+} + H_{2}O_{2} \rightleftharpoons [Fe(L)_{b}(H_{2}O)_{a-1}(H_{2}O_{2})]^{2+} + H_{2}O (1b)$$

$$[Fe(L)_{b}(H_{2}O)_{a-1}(H_{2}O_{2})]^{2+} \rightleftharpoons [Fe(L)_{b}(H_{2}O)_{a-1}(OH)_{2}]^{2+}$$
(1c)

$$[Fe(L)_{b}(H_{2}O)_{a-1}(OH)_{2}]^{2+} + H_{2}O \rightleftharpoons [Fe(L)_{b}(H_{2}O)_{a}]^{3+} + HO\bullet + HO^{-} (1d)$$

Thus, the nature of L (an organic or inorganic chelating agent), the ratio a/b, and other factors actually influence the generation of hydroxyl radicals. (To simplify the mechanism, the ferryl ion in reaction 1c is not considered to be involved in the oxidation process.) Because the equilibrium constants for reactions 1a-1d cannot be known (i.e., L might be a high number of species), the initiating mechanism was reduced to a



Figure 6. Degradation of protocatechuic acid in aqueous solution by Fenton's reagent. Influence of hydrogen peroxide initial concentration. Conditions as in Figure 1. (Symbols, experimental data; surface, model calculations.)



Figure 7. Degradation of protocatechuic acid in aqueous solution by Fenton's reagent. Influence of ferrous iron initial concentration. Conditions as in Figure 2. (Symbols, experimental data; surface, model calculations.)

# Scheme 1

$Fe^{2+}(L)_x + H_2O_2 \longrightarrow xL + Fe^{3+} + OH^- + HO_{\bullet}$	$\mathbf{k}_{ini} = ?$	(1)
$\label{eq:Fe} Fe^{3*}(M)_y + \ H_2O_2 \longrightarrow yM \ + \ Fe^{2*} \ + \ H^* \ + \ HO_2^*$	$k_{rl} = ?$	(2)
$H_2O_2 + HO \cdot \longrightarrow HO_2 \cdot + H_2O$	$k_{p1} = 2.7 \times 10^7$	(3)
$Fe^{2*}$ + HO• $\longrightarrow$ $Fe^{3*}$ + OH <sup>-</sup>	$k_{p2} = 4.3 \times 10^8$	(4)
$Fe^{3*}$ + $HO_2^{\cdot}$ $\longrightarrow$ $Fe^{2*}$ + $O_2$ + $H^*$	$k_{r2} = 10^4$	(5)
$Fe^{2*}$ + $HO_2$ + $H^* \longrightarrow Fe^{3*}$ + $H_2O_2$	$k_{0x1} = 1.2x10^6$	(6)
$Fe^{3*}$ + $O_2^- \longrightarrow Fe^{2*}$ + $O_2$	$k_{r3} = 1.5 \times 10^8$	(7)
$\label{eq:Fe2+} Fe^{2*} \ \textbf{+} \ \mathbf{\dot{O}_2}^- \ \textbf{+} \ 2H^* \ \longrightarrow \ Fe^{3*} \ \textbf{+} \ H_2O_2$	$k_{0x2} = 10^7$	(8)
$HO_2^{\bullet} \longrightarrow O_2^{-} + H^{\bullet}$	$k_{d} = 8x10^{5}$	(9)
$O_2^- + H^* \longrightarrow HO_2^-$	$k_{dr} = 5 x 10^{10}$	(10)
$HO* + HO* \longrightarrow H_2O_2$	$k_{T1} = 9x10^9$	(11)
$HO\bullet + HO_2\bullet \longrightarrow O_2 + H_2O$	$k_{T2} = 5 x 10^{10}$	(12)
$\bigcup_{OH}^{COOH} + HO \longrightarrow \bigcup_{OH}^{OH} + H_2O + CO_2$	$k_{PA} = 5 x 10^9$	(13)
$ \underbrace{(\bullet)}_{OH} + Fe(III) \xrightarrow{-H^+} \bigoplus_{OH} OH + Fe(II) $	$k_{r4} = 4.4 \times 10^4$	(14)
$\bigcup_{OH} + Fe(III) \xrightarrow{-H^+} \bigcup_{OH} + Fe(II)$	$k_{r5} = 3.0 x 10^2$	(15)
$\bigcup_{OH}^{OH} + HO^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{O_2}^{OH} \bigcup_{OH}^{OH} + HO_2^{\circ}$	$k_{ArOH2} = 5.0 \mathrm{x} 10^9$	(16)
$\bigcup_{OH}^{OH} + Fe(III) \xrightarrow{-H^{+}} \bigcup_{OH}^{O^{+}} + Fe(II)$	$k_{r6} = 1.0 \times 10^2$	(17)
$\bigcup_{OH}^{O^{\bullet}} \stackrel{+}{\to} Fe(III) \xrightarrow{-H^{+}} \bigcup_{OH}^{O} \stackrel{+}{\to} Fe(II)$	$k_{r7} = 4.4 \times 10^4$	(18)
$\bigcup_{OH}^{+} Fe(III) \xrightarrow{-H^{*}} \qquad $	$k_{r8} = 4.4 \times 10^4$	(19)
$\bigcup_{\substack{OH\\OH}}^{O} + HO^{-} \longrightarrow {}^{2} HOC^{-}CH_{2} - COH \\ \bigcup_{\substack{OH\\O}}^{O} OH$	$k_{ArO112O} = 1.0x10^9$	(20)
$ \overset{OH}{\underset{OH}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{HOC}{} \overset{HOC-CH=CH-C=CH-COH}{\underset{OH}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{HOC-CH=CH-C=CH-COH}{\underset{OH}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{OH}{} OH$	$k_{ArOH3}=5.0x10^9$	(21)
↓ но· →	$k_{\Lambda rO2}=5.0 x 10^9$	(22)
нос-сн=сн-с=сн-сон + но• —→ 2 нос-сн₂-сон о он о о о	$k_{C6a} = 1.0 \times 10^9$	(23)
Ссоон + но· → нс-сн=сн-снон + нс-снон соон 0 оон 0 оон	$k_{C6b} = 1.0 \times 10^9$	(24)
нс-сн=сн-снон + но· → 2 ноос-соон	$k_{C4} = 5.0 \times 10^8$	(25)
нос-сн₂-сон 0 0 + но• → нсоон + со₂ + н₂о ноос-соон	$k_{C2/3} = 1.0 x 10^8$	(26)
$H_2O_2 \longrightarrow O_2 + H_2O$	$k_{ineff} = ?$	(27)



**Figure 8.** Optimization of  $k_{\text{ini}}$  and  $k_{\text{ineff}}$  under different values of *R*. Conditions: T = 303 K, pH = 3.7,  $C_{\text{PA},0} = 6.5 \times 10^{-4}$  M.



**Figure 9.** Degradation of protocatechuic acid in aqueous solution by Fenton's reagent. Influence of protocatechuic acid initial concentration. Conditions as in Figure 4. (Symbols, experimental data; surface, model calculations.)

simple reaction between Fe(II) and  $H_2O_2$  (reaction 1), whose constant was taken as an adjustable parameter in the mechanism. Similarly to the catalytic effect of Fe(II), and for analogous reasons, the reaction of Fe(III) with  $H_2O_2$  was also taken as an adjustable parameter.

(ii) The oxidation of PA involves the generation of reducing species capable of transforming Fe(III) into Fe(II). Similarly to

reaction 1, the effect of PA addition on Fe(III) reduction in the presence of  $H_2O_2$  is considered by adjusting the  $k_{r1}$  value in reaction 2. Scheme 1 comprising reactions 1-27 was therefore adopted. These reactions considered the classic Fenton's steps (reactions 1-12) plus the oxidation of PA and some of the potential intermediates formed. Reactions 14-26 represent the reactivity of species of similar nature, i.e., acetic acid is likely formed after ring cleavage, although it does not specifically appear in the mechanism proposed, so it can be assumed to be represented by oxalic acid (the same applies for other potential intermediates).

The route for protocatechuic acid oxidation was built on the basis of the works of Rivas et al. (6), Chen and Pignatello (10), and Bailey (11). The rate constants corresponding to HO• attack were taken from literature values or assigned a generic value in the range of  $(5 \times 10^9) - (1 \times 10^8)$  depending on the analogies between the compounds considered and other species whose kinetic constants are known. A similar strategy was followed in the case of the rest of reactions [i.e., Fe(III) reduction reactions].

(iii) Finally,  $H_2O_2$  is considered to partially decompose into inert molecules (oxygen and water), the extent of this inefficient reaction depending on the operating conditions used, the nature and geometry of the reactor, the presence of trace elements, etc. This stage is represented by reaction 27.

The set of first-order differential equations derived from reactions 1-27 occurring in a batch reactor were solved with Mathematica5. The adjustable parameters  $k_{ini}$ ,  $k_{r1}$ , and  $k_{ineff}$  were optimized to fit experimental and model results.

**Figure 6** shows the evolution profiles of PA, Fe(II), and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> corresponding to the experimental series shown in **Figure 1** as a function of  $R [R = C_{\text{H2O2,0}}/C_{\text{Fe(II),0}}]$ . As observed from this figure, the PA concentration profiles were adequately modeled by the proposed mechanism. Also, the hydrogen peroxide conversion curves were acceptably simulated, with a slight overestimation of this parameter for the highest *R* values used in this work. A similar trend was observed for the Fe(II) concentration profiles, i.e., a good fitting was obtained for low values of *R*, and some discrepancies at high reaction times were experienced for values of *R* above 40.

Figure 7 depicts the performance of the model when simulating the experimental series shown in Figure 2. In contrast to the previous series, even for high R values, the mechanism is capable of simulating the process with minor differences between theoretical and calculated profiles.

As stated previously, the values of  $k_{ini}$  and  $k_{ineff}$  depend on the operating conditions used. As a consequence, the optimization procedure gave a range of values for these constants, which



Figure 10. Degradation of protocatechuic acid in aqueous solution by Fenton's such as reagent. Influence of Fe(III) initial concentration. Conditions as in Figure 5. (Symbols, experimental data; surface, model calculations.)



Figure 11. Degradation of protocatechuic acid in aqueous solution by Fenton's reagent. Influence of temperature. Conditions as in Figure 3. (Symbols, experimental data; surface, model calculations.)



**Figure 12.** Degradation of protocatechuic acid in aqueous solution by Fenton's reagent. Arrhenius plots of  $k_{i1}$  and  $k_{ineff}$ . Conditions as in Figure 3.

are presented in **Figure 8**. From this figure, some points should be highlighted. First, the two rate constants follow similar patterns with minor changes when *R* is raised above 50. The highest values of  $k_{ini}$  and  $k_{ineff}$  were found when the lowest *R* values were utilized, i.e., both the effective and the inefficient H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> decomposition rates increased as the amount of Fe(II) was raised regarding to the amount of hydrogen peroxide. Additionally, the low value of  $k_{ini}$ , below the available value of 76 M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> reported in organic free water, is noted. The reason for this remains unclear. In this sense, this type of compound (phenols) has been reported to enhance the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> decomposition rate (6) in the presence of Fe(II)/Fe(III). In contrast, other authors have reported the antioxidant effect of PA by inactivating the Fe(II) molecule after the formation of a complex (*12*).

Once  $k_{\text{ini}}$  and  $k_{\text{ineff}}$  had been calculated for a range of *R* values, the validity of the model was tested for the experiments carried out at different initial PA concentrations. Figure 9 corroborates the suitability of the mechanism by adequately modeling the experimental data obtained, in this case without optimization of any kinetic parameter.

The value of  $k_{r1}$  was obtained by using the experiments shown in **Figure 4**. [This rate constant played a minor role in the Fe-(II)/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system]. The values of  $k_{ini}$  and  $k_{ineff}$  used were taken from the previous analysis, so the only adjustable parameter was  $k_{r1}$ . A value of  $k_{r1} = 5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  was obtained after minimization of the accumulated errors. **Figure 10** displays the evolution profiles corresponding to PA degradation, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> conversion, and Fe(II) generation.

As inferred from **Figure 10**, the mechanism does a good job even when dealing with the Fenton-like system instead of Fenton's reagent. The model qualitatively simulates the fast Fe(II) formation followed by a slight decrease as the parent compound disappears.

Finally, the series of experiments conducted at different temperatures were modeled. For this purpose,  $k_{ini}$  and  $k_{ineff}$  were

again considered as adjustable parameters. Likely, other reactions included in the mechanism are also affected by a change in temperature. However, a sensitivity analysis of the mechanism indicates that these two stages are the most important reactions taking place. Additionally, reactions involving radicals normally show a low activation energy, so their rate constants do not appreciably vary with temperature. **Figure 11** shows the experimental and calculated results for this series. Additionally, **Figure 12** depicts the Arrhenius plots corresponding to  $k_{ini}$  and  $k_{ineff}$ . From this representation, the following expressions were obtained:

$$\ln(k_{\rm ini}) = -5168T^{-1} + 19.1 \quad (R^2 = 0.996)$$
(28)

$$\ln(k_{\text{ineff}}) = -7120T^{-1} + 15.6 \quad (R^2 = 0.986) \quad (29)$$

## LITERATURE CITED

- Torre-Carbot, K.; Jauregui, O.; Gimeno, E.; Castellote, A.; Lamuela-Raventós, R.; López-Sabater, M. Characterization and quantification of phenolic compounds in olive oils by solid-phase extraction, HPLC-DAD, and HPLC-MS/MS. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2005, 53, 4331–4340.
- (2) Kolaczkowski, S.; Beltrán, F.; McLurgh, D.; Rivas F. J. Wet air oxidation of phenols: Factors that might influence global kinetics. *Trans. Inst. Chem. Eng. B: Proc. Saf. Environ. Pollut.* **1997**, 75, 257–265.
- (3) Babich, H.; Sedletcaia A.; Kenigsberg, B. In vitro cytotoxicity of protocatechuic acid to cultured human cells from oral tissue: involvement in oxidative stress. *Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2002, *91*, 245–53.
- (4) Gernjak, W.; Krutzler, T.; Glaser, A.; Malato, S.; Caceres, J.; Bauer, R.; Fernandez-Alba, A. R. Photo-Fenton treatment of water containing natural phenolic pollutants. *Chemosphere* 2003, 50, 71–78.
- (5) Benitez, F. J.; Beltran-Heredia, J.; Acero, J. L.; Gonzalez, T. Degradation of protocatechuic acid by two advanced oxidation processes: Ozone/UV radiation and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/UV radiation. *Water Res.* **1996**, *30*, 1597–1604.
- (6) Rivas, F. J.; Beltrán, F. J.; Frades, J.; Buxeda P. Oxidation of p-hydroxybenzoic acid by Fenton's reagent. *Water Res.* 2001, 35, 387–396.
- (7) Rivas, F. J.; Beltrán, F. J.; Gimeno, O.; Alvarez, P. Treatment of brines by combined Fenton reagent aerobic biodegradation. II. Process modelling. *J. Hazard. Mat.* 2003, *96*, 277–290.
- (8) Lodovici, M.; Guglielmi, F.; Meoni, M.; Dolara, P. Effect of natural phenolic acids on DNA oxidation in vitro. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2001, *39* (12), 1205–1210.
- (9) Bossmann, S. H.; Oliveros, E.; Göb, S.; Siegwart, S.; Dahlen, E. P.; Payawan, L.; Straub, M.; Wörner, M.; Braun A. M. New evidence against hydroxyl radicals as reactive intermediates in the thermal and photochemically enhanced Fenton reactions. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1998**, *102*, 5542–5550.

(11) Bailey, P. S. Ozonation of aromatic compounds: Benzene and substituted benzenes. In *Ozonation in Organic Chemistry. Vol II. Nonolefinic Compounds*; Academic Press: New York, 1982; Vol. 39-II, pp 32–34. (12) Yoshino, M.; Murakami, K. Interaction of iron with polyphenolic compounds: Application to antioxidant characterization. *Anal. Biochem.* **1998**, 257, 40–44.

Received for review May 31, 2005. Revised manuscript received October 28, 2005. Accepted October 31, 2005.

JF0512712